IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 15734 of 2005

Between:

M.Unneri, S/o.Late Rarukutty, CISF Unit,
SCCL (S) (Area SRP), PO Naspur, Adilabad district.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force (S),

   SRP Area, PO Naspur, Adilabad district.

2. The Dy.Inspector General, CISF SZ HQ, Rajaji Bhavan, Basanth Nagar, Chennai.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, declaring the action of respondent in not disposing the representations dt.03-02-2005 and 21-03-2005 filed by petitioner, as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and also a direction to the respondents to conside5r the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of ASI and SI with all consequential benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.CH.ANJANEYULU

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.A.RAJASHEKAR REDDY (ASST SOLICITOR GENERAL)

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the inaction of the respondents in disposing of his representations dated 03.02.005 and 21.03.2005.

According to the petitioner, he was appointed as a Constable in Central Industrial Security Force on 18.09.1972. Thereafter, he was promoted as a Lance Naik in the year 1986, Naik in the year 1988 and Head Constable in the year 1990. It is stated that the petitioner has been discharging his duties to the utmost satisfaction of the superior authorities. It is also stated that though actually he was promoted as a Lance Naik on 12.01.1983, the said order was implemented only in the year 1996. It is further stated that the petitioner was imposed punishment of withholding one annual increment with cumulative effect. It is also stated that the petitioner was awarded 7 cash rewards from 1984 to 1988 with commendation certificate for his meritorious services. Now, the grievance of the petitioner is that though the persons who were appointed along with him were considered for promotion to the post of Assistant Sub Inspectors and Sub Inspectors, his case has not been considered.

Hence, he made representations to the second respondent referred to earlier. However, the second respondent did not consider his representations. Hence, the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

As the representations of the petitioner were not considered and disposed of, the second respondent is directed to dispose of the same expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

20.07.2005

VGB

To

1. The Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force (S), SRP Area, PO Naspur, Adilabad district.

2. The Deputy Inspector General, CISF SZ HQ, Rajaji Bhavan, Basanth Nagar, Chennai.

3. 2CD copies.